

BUSH WANTS WW3, CLEARLY
(c)2002 Pete Nicholls
thepetenicholls.com
thepetenicholls@gmail.com
505 words

US 'President' George W. Bush has now stated that he wishes to remove threats to America and freedom throughout the world.

"We are going to respond in a determined, focused, effective way by defending freedom no matter what the cost, and that includes understanding we cannot let the world's worst leaders blackmail the United States or our friends and allies with the world's worst weapons,"

What is the proof of this "blackmail"? Who has publicly threatened the use of "the world's worst weapons" against America and her friends? I challenge anyone to quote one leader who has publicly said "America? She's a bitch and we're gonna put her down."

Okay, so they don't need to have used those exact words, but even Saddam hasn't said "We plan to nuke the USA."

Scott Ritter, former UN Weapons Inspector in Iraq says there is absolutely no evidence of Iraq having any weapons of mass destruction. Who would better know about this than he? Ritter is an ex-Marine and a Republican who voted for George in the last 'election'. So, it's pretty clear he can be trusted. In my opinion, you can usually trust a man who has turned against his leaders for reasons of logic and morals.

Many are saying that George will attack Iraq because of this 'threat'. Even if you ignore that this would effectively be two 'wars' the US military would be fighting, the next logical question is, what's next? China doesn't like us that much and there's a list of countries behind China that also don't like us, as well. Will there be (imagined?) threats of those countries using the "world's worst weapons" on us, then? Or will George be satisfied that freedom is protected with Iraq out of the picture?

I think every American everywhere should speak up and make their opinion known on this. Proof needs to be presented to the American people of these threats. War is no longer a logical rationale for man to wage in the twenty-first century. It is against International Law to conquest for land and our borders are not being threatened by Iraq or any terrorists.

War is acceptable if a country is attacked by another. But so far, there has been no proof of even a threat of the kind he refers to against America. And after all that has gone on between the 2000 'election' and the recent economic scandals (some surrounding the US 'president' and his 'vice-president'), it doesn't seem to wise to trust them at their word when they claim there has been a threat issued.

America needed Pearl Harbor to get involved in World War II. America needed 9-11 to get involved in the 'war on terrorism'. But now, George is out there *inviting* World War III.

And too many people are silent on this front. Who was it that said:

"Evil triumphs when good men do nothing."

I guess I just did... huh, neat...